S.No	Parameter	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor(1)	Score (Indicat e the Level)
1	Competition of the project work as mentioned in the 1 <sup>st</sup> review with the timelines	Completed the project in- line with the objectives and methodologies stated. Validated the results and conclusions leading to a publication in a conference or journal	Completed the project in line with the objectives and methodologies stated and moderately validated the results and conclusions	Completed the project with 15 to 20% deviations in the objectives and methodologies	Concluded the project without achieving all the objective listed in the first review	
2	Detailed power point presentation for complete project starting from the literature review to final conclusions demonstrating methodologies/ used, Objectives achieved, Validation of results and conclusions	Good presentation as per the format and sequence of the activities done. Used the IT enable tools and videos for effective presentation using apt vocabulary and technical language.	Good presentation as per the format and sequence of the activities done and effective usage of Vocabulary and technical language.	Moderate presentation as per the format and sequence of the activities done. Acceptable vocabulary and technical language is used.	Poor presentation and non-sink with the format and sequence of the activities done. Poor vocabulary and technical language is used.	
3	Demonstrating Team Work	Equal distribution of work and Pro-active participation with collaborative approach among all the members.	Equal distribution of work and pro-active participation among few of the members.	Unequal distribution of work and lack of collaboration/hand holding to help each other	No coordination among the team members and lack of pro- active approach	
4	Final Project Report preparation and its alignment with the standard format	Report well drafted and prepared as per the format. Provided information with all the figures related to experimental setups, and various stages of the execution of the project citing the references as	Well prepared report as per the format without any grammatical and typographical error. Provided adequate information about the execution of the project with all the references properly cited	Report prepared with formatting, page nos., table and figure nos. and with few grammatical and typo errors. Provided adequate information about the execution of the project but without reference to the bibliography	Report prepared with shabby formatting, improper page nos., without table and figure nos., more grammatical and typo errors and Inadequate information about the execution of the project	t

GMR Institute of Technology, Rajam, Andhra Pradesh

	per the bibliography. Well drafted conclusions mentioning the future scope for the project					
	Sub –Total (A)					
	Internal Marks Out of 20 (B) = (A/16) x 20					